Public Health Concerns and Social Costs of Lack of Access to Safe and Legal Abortion and Post-Aborton Care

No woman should die from complications from unsafe abortion and lack of access to post-abortion care. Ensuring access to safe and legal abortion and quality post-abortion care will save Filipino women’s lives and prevent disabilities from unsafe abortion complications.

I. IMPACT OF LACK OF ACCESS TO SAFE AND LEGAL ABORTION AND QUALITY POST-ABORTION CARE

Women die or are hospitalized due to lack of access to safe and legal abortion and quality post-abortion care

Abortion is common in the Philippines.

- 3 women die every day from unsafe abortion complications
- 11 women are hospitalized every hour
- 70 women induce abortion every hour

The Philippine penal law on abortion is one of the most restrictive in the world—penalizing the woman who undergoes abortion and the person assisting the woman without providing clear exceptions even when the woman’s life or health is in danger, the pregnancy is the result of rape or incest, or fetal impairment. While a liberal interpretation of the law would allow therapeutic abortion to save a woman’s life and other justifiable grounds such as rape, incest, and fetal impairment and although Philippine laws allow access to humane, nonjudgmental, compassionate post-abortion care, abortion is highly stigmatized. Hence, hundreds of thousands of Filipino women risk their health and lives by inducing abortion in clandestine and unsafe conditions resulting in deaths and disabilities.

These deaths and disabilities could be prevented through access to sexuality education, modern contraceptives, and the provision of safe and legal induced abortion and quality post-abortion care for abortion complications.

Unsafe abortion has long been recognized globally as one of the causes of maternal mortality and morbidity.

- In 2000, an estimated 12% of maternal deaths in the Philippines were due to unsafe Abortion.

About 8% of all maternal deaths in the world are due to unsafe abortion, hence, the strong movement around the world to provide access to safe and legal abortion.
High unintended pregnancies and lack of access to safe and legal abortion lead to high maternal mortality and morbidity due to unsafe abortion complications

- Nearly three in ten births are either unwanted or mistimed
- One in ten adolescent women aged 15-19 years old are pregnant with their first child or are already mothers
- The number of young mothers aged 15-19 has more than doubled in the last decade

There is still a low number of women who use modern contraceptives with only about four out of every ten women aged 15-49 using modern contraceptives. Owing to lack of access to contraceptive information, services, and supplies, poor, rural, and young women are likely to experience unintended pregnancy and resort to unsafe abortion procedures.

- about one in every ten pregnant women in the National Capital Region
- about one in every 20 pregnant women nationwide induce abortion.

While modern contraceptives can reduce unintended pregnancies and abortion to some extent, it will not eliminate the need for abortion as modern contraceptives still have failure rates—although minimal—and many women and girls do not have access to contraceptive information, supplies, and services while other women and girls become pregnant as a result of rape and incest.

Reasons why the common Filipino woman induce abortion

The women who induce abortion are similar to the majority of the Filipino women—poor, Roman Catholic, married, with at least three children, and have at least a high school education. The following reasons were cited by the women for undergoing abortion:

**Economic:** inability to afford the cost of raising a child or an additional child (cited by three in four women);

**Economic/too soon:** they already have enough children or their pregnancy came too soon after their last birth (cited by more than half of the women);

**Health:** their pregnancy would endanger their health (nearly one-third of women);

**Pregnancy not supported by Partner/Family:** believed their partner or another family member did not want or support the pregnancy (cited by one-third of women);

**Rape:** pregnancy as a result of forced sex (cited by 13% of women)

Poor women comprise two-thirds of those who induce abortion, using riskier abortion methods, thus disproportionately experiencing severe complications.
Denying safe and legal abortion for rape victims is torture and clearly discriminates against women

- A Filipino woman or girl is raped every 58 minutes\(^{24}\)
- 13\% of women who had an abortion were rape victims (2004 national survey on abortion)\(^{25}\)

One of the glaring consequences of rape is unwanted pregnancy. Some women and girls who became pregnant resulting from rape were forced to resort to clandestine and unsafe abortions to end their unwanted pregnancies while others have tried to commit suicide.\(^{26}\)

In August 2016, Maria, not her real name, a Filipino 21-year old rape victim with dwarfism condition became pregnant as a result of the rape. Due to her risky childbirth as a consequence of her dwarfism condition, Maria experienced childbirth complications and died a day after giving birth. Her mother lamented that her daughter might be alive today had her daughter been able access to safe and legal abortion.\(^ {27}\)

When one’s daughter, sister, wife or mother becomes pregnant as a result of rape, one might start to entertain seeking access to safe and legal abortion, however, even rape victims are not expressly allowed by Philippine law to undergo abortion. A 10-year old girl who became pregnant after being raped by her own father would be forced to carry her pregnancy to term.

This is injustice and clearly discriminates against women and girls who are victims of rape and incest.

Denying safe and legal abortion for therapeutic reasons places women’s health and lives at risk

- One-third of the women who induced abortion cited health reasons for inducing abortion.\(^ {28}\)

There are many reasons why a woman might want to induce abortion as her pregnancy and childbirth itself could lead to her death and disability.

The World Health Organization (WHO) found that 73\% of all maternal deaths were due to direct obstetric causes:

- Hemorrhage (27.1\%)
- Hypertensive disorders (14\%)
- Sepsis (10.7\%)
- Unsafe Abortion (7.9\%)
- Embolism (3.2\%)
- All other direct causes of death (9.6\%)

Source: WHO Global causes of maternal death: a WHO systematic analysis, May 2014 citing 2003-2009 global, regional, and sub-regional estimates of causes of maternal death
Other risky pregnancies that can lead to the death or disability of women are due to the following:

- less than 18 or greater than 35 years of age
- less than 4’9” in height
- having a fourth or more child
- one or more of the following: 3 consecutive miscarriages or stillborn baby, post-partum hemorrhage (PPH)
- one or more of the following medical conditions: tuberculosis, heart disease, diabetes, bronchial asthma, goiter

Source: Field Health Service Information System (FHSIS) 2011 and 2014.

A woman may also have other conditions that have been found to have caused maternal death, including in particular HIV, malaria, severe anemia, malnutrition, and violence against women.

Many of the conditions mentioned above are common to Filipino pregnant women and girls (e.g., hypertensive; less than 18 or greater than 35 years old; less than 4’9” in height; having a fourth or more child; with tuberculosis, heart disease, diabetes, bronchial asthma, goiter, HIV, malaria, severe anemia, malnutrition; a victim of violence against women). A woman may also have suffered a previous PPH and may want to induce abortion to avoid risk to her health and life due to PPH.

Moreover, although interventions exist to prevent these maternal deaths and address the pre-existing health concerns of women, the services and information regarding the health services may not be accessible to poor, rural, and young women.

II. DENIAL OF ACCESS TO SAFE AND LEGAL ABORTION AND QUALITY POST-ABORTION CARE ARE PUBLIC HEALTH ISSUES

Denying access to safe and legal abortion and quality post-abortion care are public health issues given the vast numbers of women and their families affected, the health care costs to treat complications from unsafe abortion, and the grave consequences to the health and lives of women and their families.

- More than 80% of women who induced abortion experienced a complication (2004 study)
- More than one-third experienced a severe complication (2004 study)
- Nearly one out of every four of the 2,039 hospitals recorded induced and spontaneous abortion as among the top ten causes for admission in 2000
Treating complications from unsafe abortion is estimated to cost health systems ten times more than induced safe abortion services offered in primary care, burdening the country’s limited health system resources.

**Women’s rights are violated when they are denied access to quality post-abortion care**

Women with complications from unsafe abortion are in need of emergency medical care and, if post-abortion care is delayed or not administered, mild complications may become more serious and lead to long-term health problems. Yet, women are frequently denied access to humane, nonjudgmental, compassionate post-abortion care. Women suffering abortion complications often face humiliation and are commonly threatened with arrest and prosecution at health care facilities. Instead of receiving emergency medical treatment, these women are treated as criminals rather than as patients.

Many women suffering complications due to spontaneous abortion, abortion due to trauma from intimate partner violence, and even fetal death have also been denied access to humane, nonjudgmental, compassionate post-abortion care and were threatened with criminal prosecution.

Many women and girls are denied access life-saving post-abortion care despite the clear provisions of the following laws to provide post-abortion care:

- **Magna Carta of Women (Republic Act 9710):** manage pregnancy-related complications
- **Responsible Parenthood and Reproductive Health Law (RH Law or Republic Act 10354):** to provide humane, nonjudgmental, and compassionate post-abortion care
- **Republic Act 8344:** imposing penalties on health care providers, officials, employees of hospitals or clinics for failing to stabilize emergency cases

Providing access to quality and timely post-abortion care will save women’s lives and reduce disabilities from unsafe abortion complications.

**Social cost of unintended pregnancies and maternal mortality due to unsafe abortion**

- There is an estimated nine living children who will lose their mothers every day due to maternal mortality resulting from complications from unsafe abortion.
- Many children who lose their mothers receive less health care and education, are likely to have serious health problems, and are more likely to die.
- About two babies are reported abandoned every day.
- In one orphanage, the house parent said that 98% of the children are not adopted because most children were born as a result of incest rape with the fathers as perpetrators.
Reasons for abandoning babies could include unintended pregnancies resulting from rape, poor women and their families cannot afford to raise another child, and young women who are unprepared to raise a child. Although anti-choice groups say that adoption for unwanted pregnancies is an option, the reality is that most children in orphanages are not adopted.\textsuperscript{44}

**Women in developing countries like the Philippines are at risk from unsafe abortion**

- Ninety-eight percent of unsafe abortions are in developing countries.\textsuperscript{45}

In countries like the Philippines where induced abortion is legally restricted and unavailable, safe abortion is frequently the privilege of the rich, while poor women often resort to unsafe providers, causing deaths and morbidities.\textsuperscript{46} In comparison, in almost all developed countries, safe and legal abortion is available upon request or under broad social and economic grounds, with services generally accessible and available.\textsuperscript{47}

**III. SPANISH COLONIAL LAW ON ABORTION ENDANGERS WOMEN’S HEALTH AND LIVES**

**Restrictive laws do not stop women from having abortions**

The illegality of abortion has not stopped women from making personal decisions to terminate their pregnancies, it merely drives women to resort to clandestine and unsafe abortion methods unnecessarily endangering their health and lives. The restrictive abortion law has also been used by health providers to unlawfully deny post-abortion care to women and to threaten women with prosecution.

Due to the restrictive abortion law and stigma, women suffering abortion complications do not seek medical attention, they delay medical care--sometimes until they are in danger of dying--for fear of being arrested, or they are forced to leave the health facilities without undergoing necessary emergency treatment when they are subjected by certain health care providers to humiliation and threats of arrest and prosecution.\textsuperscript{48}

As long as abortion remains illegal, women will be hospitalized and die from unsafe abortion complications. Due to the stigma related to abortion brought about by the continued implementation of an archaic colonial Spanish law and the imposition of religious beliefs on others, women will continue to suffer violations to their rights to health and life.

**The Philippine restrictive abortion law is an archaic colonial penal law**

The Philippine criminal law on abortion is an outdated colonial law that violates the rights to health and life of Filipino women.

This Philippine penal provision was directly translated into English from the old Spanish Penal Code of 1870 that used to criminalize abortion—during the time of the Spanish friars and at the
time when the Philippines was under the colonial rule of Spain. Without knowing the full consequences of such a harsh and restrictive law, our congress adopted the criminal provision in our Revised Penal Code of 1930. At the time the law was adopted, Filipino women did not even have the right to vote and the international bill of human rights and core international human rights treaties have not yet been adopted. These international instruments were adopted and took force and effect much later--Universal Declaration of Human Rights (1948), International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR, adopted in 1966, took effect in 1976), the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR, 1966, 1976), Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW, 1979, 1981), Convention Against Torture (CAT, 1984, 1987), and Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC, 1989, 1990).

Allowing outmoded colonial penal laws on abortion in Philippine law makes us all complicit to the estimated three women who die each day from unsafe abortion complications. Letting such colonial law prevail in our society breeds hatred and hostility towards Filipino women who resort to unsafe abortion methods. Our laws should never countenance such discriminatory laws against women.

Recommended Revision of Philippine Abortion Law

The revision of the Philippine colonial abortion law can be through a specific law removing the penalties for the women inducing abortion and safe abortion providers assisting them or through a law or jurisprudence allowing abortion on broad grounds including upon request of the woman, in cases of rape, risks to the life and health of the woman, serious fetal impairment, and all other cases where women undergo abortion.

Conclusion

Providing access to safe and legal abortion and post-abortion care, inter alia, will greatly lower maternal mortality and morbidity related to unsafe abortion and in meeting the country’s commitment to the Sustainable Development Goals to decrease the maternal mortality ratio to two-thirds of 2010 levels under Target 3.49

Access to safe and legal abortion and to quality post-abortion care are fundamental women’s rights. The primary causes of mortality and morbidity from unsafe abortion complications are not blood loss, infection, uterine perforation, and acute renal failure, rather it is the indifference and contempt toward women who bear the brunt of the Philippine colonial law on abortion.

Public officials must uphold secular standards in Philippine law, not religious standards and work towards women’s access to safe and legal abortion and quality post-abortion care as a means to achieve women’s rights to equality and non-discrimination and uphold women’s right to health and life.***
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Fact Sheet 1 - Safe & Legal Abortion Saves Women's Lives: Public Health Concerns and Social Costs of Lack of Access to Safe and Legal Abortion and Post-Abortion Care

• amend articles 256 to 259 of the Revised Penal Code to “legalize abortion in cases of rape, incest, threats to the life and/or health of the mother, or serious malformation of the foetus and decriminalize all other cases where women undergo abortion, as well as adopt necessary procedural rules to guarantee effective access to legal abortion.”
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